

Synthesis and characterization of two copper cyanide complexes with hexagonal Cu₆ units

Yingjun Zhao,^a Maochun Hong,^{a*} Weiping Su,^a Rong Cao,^{a*} Zhongyuan Zhou^b and Albert S. C. Chan^{*b}

^a State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, P. R. China.
E-mail: hmc@ms.fjirsm.ac.cn

^b Open Laboratory of Chirotechnology and Department of Applied Biology and Chemical Technology, the Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Hum, Hong Kong

Received 15th March 2000, Accepted 12th April 2000

Published on the Web 8th May 2000

Reaction of CuCN with PPh₃ and PPh₄Br induced by the pyridine-2-thiolate anion in DMF resulted in a discrete hexanuclear [Cu(CN)(PPh₃)₂]₆ (**1**) complex with a large hexagonal cavity and a polymer [(PPh₄){Cu₂(CN)₃}]_n (**2**) with a graphite-like lamellar structure, respectively.

Design and research of complexes with macrocyclic and cavity structures are a subject of current interest because of their potential abilities for selective inclusion of ions and molecules and catalysis for specific chemical transformations.^{1–7} Transition metal cyanide complexes have a long and distinguished history in the chemical sciences, of which copper(I) cyanide, in particular, has enjoyed popularity among chemists due to its unique role in structural elaboration *via* cyano-Gilman chemistry.⁸ However, attempts to obtain single crystals adequate for diffraction studies have been confounded by its insolubility in most solvents. Recently, a series of unusual copper cyanide complexes have been detected in the gas phase.⁹

Laser ablation of CuCN yields positive and negative ions of composition [Cu_n(CN)_{n+1}][–] (*n* = 1–5) and [Cu_n(CN)_{n–1}]⁺ (*n* = 1–6).¹⁰ Calculations showed that the possible structural identities of these species are chain, cyclic or metal-bridged arrangements. Thus, an interesting challenge is generation, stabilization, isolation, and structural characterization of such structures from solution.¹¹ Very recently, several Cu–CN complexes with intricate one-dimensional and layered structures, [Cu₂(CN)₂(L)]_n [L = 4,7-bis(2-cyanoethyl)-1-thia-4,7-diazacyclononane],¹² [Cu₂(bpy)₂(CN)Cu₅(CN)₆], [Cu₃(CN)₂(trz)(bpy)], [Cu₃(CN)₂(trz)(phen)], [Cu₄(CN)₃(trz)(phen)] (trz = triazolato, C₂N₃H₂[–]), [{Cu₂(bipy)₂(CN)}₂Cu₅(CN)₇] and [Cu₆(CN)₅(trz)], [Cu₅(CN)₃(trz)₂(bpy)]^{13,14} have been synthesized and characterized. However, up to now, no discrete complex with a hexagonal [Cu₆(CN)₆] unit has been reported. Herein, two copper cyanide complexes are reported, a discrete hexagonal cavity framework [Cu(CN)(PPh₃)₂]₆ (**1**) and a graphite-like lamellar structure [(PPh₄){Cu₂(CN)₃}]_n (**2**), prepared from the reaction of CuCN with PPh₃ and PPh₄Br, respectively, induced by the pyridine-2-thiolate anion in DMF.

In the study on the Cu–CN reaction system, we hoped that a discrete complex with a [Cu₆(CN)₆] hexagonal unit might be formed if terminal ligands such as PPh₃ were introduced into the system. However, the directed reaction of CuCN with PPh₃ in DMF initially resulted in the formation of an uncharacterized precipitate, which is not soluble in any solvent. On addition of sodium pyridine-2-thiolate to the reaction system of CuCN and PPh₃, surprisingly, the precipitate smoothly turned into a single product after the solution was stirred for 6 hours. From the solution, the complex **1** was isolated as red crystals in 66% yield by slowly diffusing diethyl ether into the solution. Complex **1** is very soluble in CH₂Cl₂ and THF, and soluble in DMF. The ³¹P NMR spectrum of **1** in THF showed two signals at 15.5




Fig. 1 Molecular structure of **1**.

and 17.2 ppm downfield from the resonances for free PPh₃. An IR spectrum of **1** showed a strong peak at 2106 cm^{–1}, indicating that there is only one kind of coordination mode for the CN[–] group. Elemental analysis was consistent with the formula [Cu(CN)(PPh₃)₂].[†] The actual formula [Cu(CN)(PPh₃)₂]₆ was confirmed by X-ray single crystal diffraction analyses.[‡] As shown in Fig. 1, the core structure of **1** is a hexagonal macrocycle 9.8 Å in diameter, formed from six Cu(I) and six CN[–] bridging groups. Two phosphine ligands coordinate to each copper atom to complete the tetrahedral coordination sphere of the copper atoms.

After careful studies on the structure of **1**, we deduced that the replacement of the PPh₃ terminal ligand by a bridging cyanide ligand may generate infinite lamellar structures with [Cu₆(CN)₆] hexagonal units. The cyanide ion is a good choice for the bridging ligand. Thus, by addition of PPh₄Br into the reaction system to remove some part of Cu(I), yellow crystals of **2** were isolated in 58% yield by slowly diffusing diethyl ether into the resulting reaction solution. Complex **2** is not soluble in organic solvents, such as CH₂Cl₂, THF or DMF. The IR spectrum of **2** showed two strong peaks at 2098 and 2114 cm^{–1}. Elemental analysis was consistent with the formula [(PPh₄)-Cu₂(CN)₃].[†] X-Ray single crystal diffraction analyses[‡] revealed that **2** exhibits anionic infinite 2D graphite-like structure sheets




Fig. 2 (a) The graphite-like lamellar network in **2**. (b) Structure of **2** showing the lamellar network with PPh_4^+ cations protruding into the interlayer region.

with $[\text{Cu}_6(\text{CN})_6]$ hexagonal units. As shown in Fig. 2a, each Cu(I) is coordinated to three CN^- and each CN^- links two Cu(I) to produce the lamellar structure. The layer is exactly co-planar and PPh_4^+ ions lie between two adjacent layers (Fig. 2b), which is different to $[\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2][\text{Cu}_4(\text{CN})_6]$ which has tetraaquacupric dications intercalated into alternate interlayer spaces¹⁴ and $\text{K}[\text{Cu}_2(\text{CN})_3] \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ with a water molecule in the $[\text{Cu}_6(\text{CN})_6]$ unit.¹⁵

In conclusion, attempts to prepare complexes **1** and **2** from directed syntheses of CuCN with phosphine and PPh_4^+ , always provided uncharacterized products. By introducing pyridine-2-thiolate into the solution, **1** and **2** were isolated in high yield. The results illustrate the anion effect of pyridine-2-thiolate in the formation of the two complexes.

We are grateful to the NNSF of China for financial support. M. C. H. thanks the Croucher Foundation of Hong Kong for financial support.

Notes and references

† Elemental analyses: for **1**, calc.: C, 72.36; H, 4.92; N, 2.28; found: C, 71.76; H, 5.01; N, 2.05%; for **2**, calc.: C, 59.55; H, 3.70; N, 7.72; found: C, 60.16; H, 3.55; N, 7.65%.

‡ Crystallography. The intensity data were collected on a Bruker CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo-K α ($\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$) radiation at room temperature. All of the calculations were performed by using the SHELXTL-PLUS¹⁶ version 5.10 package on a Hewlett Packard computer. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full matrix least squares. For **1**: red hexagonal, dimensions $0.12 \times 0.18 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$, $M_r = 3684.60$, hexagonal, space group $R\bar{3}$; $a = 34.402(2)$, $c = 13.7159(11) \text{ \AA}$, $V = 14058(2) \text{ \AA}^3$; $D_{\text{calc}} = 1.306 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $Z = 3$; $\mu(\text{Mo-K}\alpha) = 0.828 \text{ mm}^{-1}$; 31566 reflections collected, 7165 [$I > 2\sigma(I)$] reflections observed; $R_1 = 0.0346$; $wR_2 = 0.0934$. For **2**: yellow block, dimensions $0.14 \times 0.16 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$, $M_r = 544.51$, orthorhombic, space group $Pnma$; $a = 14.362(2)$, $b = 19.037(3)$, $c = 8.9251(14) \text{ \AA}$, $V = 2440.2(7) \text{ \AA}^3$; $D_{\text{calc}} = 1.482 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $Z = 4$; $\mu(\text{Mo-K}\alpha) = 1.834 \text{ mm}^{-1}$; 15707 reflections collected, 2888 [$I > 2\sigma(I)$] reflections observed; $R_1 = 0.0432$; $wR_2 = 0.1156$. CCDC reference number 186/1934. See <http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/doi/b0/b002096f/> for crystallographic files in .cif format.

- 1 M. Fujita, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1998, **27**, 417; O. M. Yaghi, H. Li, C. Davis, D. Richardson and T. L. Groy, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1998, **31**, 474.
- 2 G. B. Gardner, D. Venkataraman, J. S. Moore and S. Lee, *Nature*, 1995, **374**, 792; O. M. Yaghi, G. Li and H. Li, *Nature*, 1995, **378**, 703.
- 3 D. M. L. Goodgame, D. A. Grachvogel and D. J. Williams, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1999, **38**, 153; B. F. Abrahams, P. A. Jackson and R. Robson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1998, **37**, 2656; T. J. Hennigar, D. C. MacQuarrie, P. Losier, R. D. Rogers and M. J. Zaworotko, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1997, **36**, 972.
- 4 P. J. Stang and B. Olenyuk, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1997, **30**, 502; R.-D. Schnebeck, E. Freisinger and B. Lippert, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1999, **38**, 168.
- 5 T. Shimizu, M. Kogiso and M. Masuda, *Nature*, 1996, **383**, 487.
- 6 M. Aoyagi, K. Biradha and M. Fujita, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 7457.
- 7 M. R. Ghadiri, J. R. Granja, R. A. Milligan, D. E. McRee and N. Khazanovich, *Nature*, 1993, **366**, 324.
- 8 N. Krause and A. Gerold, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1997, **36**, 187.
- 9 T. L. Stemmler, T. M. Barnhart, J. E. Penner-Hahn, C. E. Tucker, P. Knochel, M. Böhme and G. Frenking, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 12489.
- 10 I. G. Dance, P. A. W. Dean and K. J. Fisher, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1994, **33**, 6261.
- 11 S. Kroeker, R. E. Wasylshen and J. V. Hanna, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 1582.
- 12 A. J. Black, J. P. Danks, V. Lippolis, S. Parsons and M. Schröder, *New J. Chem.*, 1998, **22**, 1301.
- 13 D. J. Chesnut, A. Kusnetzow, R. Birge and J. Zubieta, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **38**, 5484.
- 14 D. J. Chesnut and J. Zubieta, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 1707; D. J. Chesnut, A. Kusnetzow and J. Zubieta, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1998, 4801.
- 15 D. T. Cromer and A. C. Larson, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 1962, **15**, 397.
- 16 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL-PLUS, Program package for structure solution and refinement, version 5.10, Siemens Analytical X-Ray Instruments, Madison, WI, 1997.